Journal of Life and Social Sciences
eISSN: 3006-2675
www.bbasrjlifess.com
J. Life Soc. Sci, 2026; Volume, 5: 47
https://doi.org/10.64013/bbasrjlifess.v2026i1.47

Review Article *Open Access*

NEVERMORE: THE LANGUAGE OF LOSS AND INCAPABILITY TO MOVE ON

ASHFAQ Z[1]*, SHERAZI SHUH[2]

[1]*University Law College, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan*
[2]*Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture Sciences, University of Punjab, Lahore, P.O BOX. 54590, Lahore, Pakistan*
**Correspondence Author Email Address:* Zuraize999@gmail.com

(Received, 15th September 2025 Accepted 27th February 2026, Published 16th March 2026)

Abstract *This article explores the philosophical and psychological dimensions of grief and man's inability to fully detach himself from loss. Using Edgar Allan Poe's, The Raven as the symbolic touchstone of departure, this study examines how memory, mourning and nostalgia shape the experience of loss across the intellectual traditions. Using existential philosophy, psychoanalytic theory, neuroscience and literary thoughts including the works of Soren Kierkegaard, Friedrich Nietzsche, Albert Camus, Sigmund Freud, Marcel Proust and contemporary psychological studies, this paper focuses on the true nature of grief by arguing that it is not merely a process of detachment but is also a form of negotiation between memory and continuation. Memory functions both as a refuge and prison, keeping the presence of what has been lost intact, as well as preventing complete emotional closure. This paper demonstrates the persistence of memory and the human's refusal to "move on", are the instrinsic aspects of the human consciousness and identity. Ultimately the healing comes not by erasing the memory but by embracing the reality and by transforming the grief into strength and by making the language of loss both as expression of despair and a pathway towards the psychological resilience and existential renewal.*

[Citation: Ashaf, Z., Sherazi, S.H.U.H. (2026). Nevermore: The Language of Loss and Incapability to Move On. J. Life Soc. Sci, 5: 47. https://doi.org/10.64013/bbasrjlifess.v2026i1.47]
Keywords: *Grief and mourning; memory and nostalgia; existential philosophy; trauma and remembrance; attachment theory; narrative of loss*

Introduction

When Silence speaks: The Word that outlived love

The room of melancholy is never empty. Even when the wailer walks away, something remains that keeps the room alive and loud. There is an invisible pulse palpitating in the dust of sorrow, a shadow lingering on every wall, and a whisper sojourning in the silent corners where once laughter lived loud. Everything holds the memory of something really precious. The apparent silence is not the absence of words or sound but is, in its own way, a very beautiful intonation of the memories that still keep the room alive. The air itself becomes eloquent in that speaking silence, and *Nevermore* is the sound silence makes, a syllable quivering between the living and the gone, a prayer that refuses to die even when memory begs it to. In Edgar Allan Poe's *The Raven*, it is not merely the cry of the bird but the despair that speaks of the woeful human condition; it is a cacophony of truth that what's gone will never come back, and the hands that once held everything will now always remain empty. *Nevermore* is the ghost of truth that haunts every delusion.

Loss is not the silence; it is the language that only those can understand who themselves are the passengers of the same boat. It speaks in the syntax of pauses, voice shaking before taking the name that no longer answers, mind drifting in the thoughts of someone who once walked beside. Soren Kierkegaard calls this agony *"sickness unto death,"* paralysis of souls and minds that can neither let a person live nor die, a state where death and life walk side by side, where one can never judge that the suffering person is dead or living. It is human nature; we cannot bring ourselves to let go of the thoughts of something that once existed, that was once very precious to us. We tend to keep it locked for ourselves forever because, to us, releasing it means losing the proof that it once existed. So, while trying to save the memory of what no longer exists, we lose the things that wait for us. Either way, loss is ours (Kierkegaard, 1849).

Sigmund Freud once said, *"Mourning first empties the world, then hollows the self."* In his book

Mourning and Melancholia, says that the loss of something precious and close to us makes everything else meaningless and colorless for us. When a person loses something he lived for, the whole world becomes dead for him. Every color fades away and every hustle becomes still, every noise becomes silent, and every cheer becomes a cry. A strange hollowness prevails that is empty of the happiness and is filled with despair. This hollowness first fills the entire surrounding world then slowly starts to creep in the self as well and at last engulfs every bliss. The lamenter becomes nothing but artifact of memories and monuments of despair , unconscious of the entire world around them. They speak to ghosts not out of folly but out to belief that their voice will reach across the abyss. They feel that the person they are longing for is still somewhere near them and they try with all their means to make their voice reach to them . the words they whisper for the gone are not for them , but for the existing parts of us longing for them, still attached to their memories (Freud, 1917).

Across the centuries and civilizations, humankind has built whole architectures around silence, cathedrals of wailings, tombs of memories, elegies, and the graves carved with the names we cannot forget. We hold sacred gatherings to remember the souls we couldn't bring ourselves to be parted from. Yet every building, every ritual fails to measure the depth of despair, to decode the unspoken words of agony, to heal the wound rooted deep in the soul and the heart. **Wittgenstein's** law**,** *"Whereof one cannot speak"* , thereof one must be silent," falters here. Because grief demands disobedience; it makes silence speak through wails, sobs, silent and empty glances, and trembling hands; in the lifelessness of a mother's eyes watching her empty cradle, in the shivering of the hands of a defeated lover reading the same old letter, In the hollowness of the voice of an unsuccessful aspirant while talking about his former ambitions (Wittgenstein, 1922).

Albert Camus believed that ***"****grief is the intimate form of absurdity."* He argued that absurdity or grief is born not just from the man's struggles against the world but also from the struggles of memory against forgetting. to live is to deny the erasure. We mourn because we cannot stand the void between ***what was*** and ***what is***. ***"Nevermore***" becomes our protest, a protest against the obvious oblivion, against the silence that screams despair, and against the deadly monster of reality that engulfs our meaning of life, our sweet paradise of delusions that keeps us close to what we want to be close to (Camus, 1942).

The Architecture of absence: How we build Homes in Memory

Loss doesn't leave empty spaces; it leaves the blueprints, the outlines of what once existed. We the loyal wailers, don't forget these blueprints. We spend our whole lives building entire buildings of memories from these blueprints, and we write entire stories of despair and longing from these outlines. To remember is to rebuild again and again, the house that the storm of destruction took away, not to live in but to prove it once stood strong and tall.

Marcel Proust says, *"memory hides in some material object".* Memory is not abstract; it is something touchable, something palpable, something olfactory. It can be in the form of an old dried rose hiding somewhere between the pages of a long-lost book, in the soft hum of a half-forgotten symphony, in the scent of raindrops, in the old, yellowed, and crinkled letter, or in the clicking of teacups. It is never gone, but preserved in something accessible. The past never dies; it merely sleeps beneath the surface of the present, waiting to be summoned by an accidental touch, gesture, smell, or sound. What returns is not the ghost but the avatar of time, dressed in the disguise of the present, reminding us that we can defy mortality by memory only. Marcel Proust shares his experience of recollecting many forgotten memories by merely dipping a madeleine into the tea. This happens with all of us in various ways, sometimes by dipping a biscuit into the tea, sometimes by opening the long-lost book, and sometimes by listening to the half-forgotten melody (Proust, 1913).

Psychology also bears witness to this architecture of memory**.** Bessel Van Der Kolk explains how trauma imprints itself upon the body. Memory, especially of loss is not still; it plays in emotional and psychological loops. He writes, *" Trauma is not the story of something that* happened back then but is the current imprint of that pain on mind and body." He argues that our body never forgets what happened in the past; it keeps repeating and replaying the scenarios in mind and keeps leaving an effect on oneself. He never gets to flee from the haunting ghost of memory; it always lingers around him, following him like his shadow. For him, forgetting the haunting memories is bliss, which he can never get because his mind and his body never let him (van der Kolk, 2014).

We, humans cannot help but keep the trail of the past in some shape, sometimes in the form of buildings, sometimes in the form of melodies, and sometimes in the form of stories, but we don't realize how fatal it is for our existing self to be always bound by the memories of what once existed. Even ancient tales warn us about the danger of holding back the memories of what can never come back in actuality. When Orpheus descended into Hades and looked back for Eurydice, his wife, he lost her forever, not because he stopped loving her but because love made him doubt (Elliot, 1980). When Lot's wife turned back to look at her burning house, she turned into salt, crystallized by rewatching something she could not save (The Holy Bible, 1611). Both stories teach the same lesson: that although remembering the past is sacred, becoming trapped in the past can destroy us. But we humans can't help but turn back, even when warned not to.

The **Greeks** called this tragic attachment *"pathos,"* the suffering that makes us human. As Harold Bloom

says, *"All poems are elegies at their core."* We like to bring everything that happened in the past to the present in some form. He argues that the poems are not merely literary works but the remembrance of either the most beautiful or the ugliest chapter of the poet's life, which he can't let go (Bloom, 1973). Greeks used the logic that in his nature, a human is a hoarder; he likes to keep everything to himself. He cannot bring himself to let go of the memories of his past, and to Greeks, this is what makes us human. He argued that this trait is innate to human nature and is acceptable.

George A. Bonanno dismantles Sigmund Freud's idea of "*mourning as detachment*." He argues that healing is not about letting go; it is about remembering the suffering and converting it into a catalyst for something reviving. He says that the healthiest healer is neither the one who completely detaches himself from the sufferings of his past nor the one who is always mourning over his sufferings. He says that the bravest and healthiest healer is he who doesn't forget his sufferings but converts the memories of his sufferings into his strengths and makes himself ready for the upcoming life with the teachings of his past sufferings. Memory is not pathology but adaptation. It is the psyche's way of saying, *"I have lost, but I have not vanished."* (Bonanno, 2009).

Remembering is a way of reliving the past, and reliving the past can never be enjoyable. Even if the memory is about a very beautiful and precious thing, it will still hurt because it will make you remember that something precious and beautiful that was once with us is no longer with us. It will remind us that we can no longer get our hands on that beautiful and precious thing, we can never relive that moment in reality. And if the memory is about something that broke us, that shattered our soul, that tarnished our sanity, then remembering this will be even more painful and heartbreaking because it will break us again, shatter our soul again, and tarnish our sanity again. So, it will always be distressing to remember the past. As philosopher Jacques Derrida called memory *" the archive of mourning."* It is the living paradox where presence and absence coexist (Deerida, 1995).

The Stillness That Refuses to Die: Our war with Moving on

"Moving on"; what a cruel phrase for something the heart never agrees to let go. The world around us tells us to *"heal,"* to *"let go,"* to *"close the chapter,"* as if forgetting memory is some administrative work. But loss doesn't work like this. It doesn't end when forms are already signed. The echoes, the whispers, the clicks, and the scent of the past never vanish; they are always there lingering at the back of the mind, ready to come to the front with just a slight gesture, a quick glimpse, and an abrupt sniff.

Psychology calls this persistence of agony *"a loop of attachment."* John Bowlby, the father of *"attachment theory,"* explained that humans form deep emotional bonds as a survival mechanism, so when these bonds break, the brain treats it like a physical injury (Bowlby, 1969).

The MRI studies by Naomi Eisenberger at UCLA later confirmed this, he explained that the ache of missing someone is not metaphorical, it is biological. The body keeps the love alive even when the person is gone. There is a neural circuit in the brain that processes physical pain, like when we hurt our arm; the pain from the arm transfers to the brain, and this neural circuit processes this physical pain. He argued that in the same way, this same neural circuit processes social pain and emotional loss. He argues that the concept of mental distress is not abstract; it is something that has scientific bases, it is not self-made, and it is created by our own body. It is actually our body's way of processing the social and emotional agony.

Philosophers have long wrestled with this refusal to *"move on." Soren Kierkegaard* called agony *"the sickness unto death,"* the state of being unable to die to what has died already. He argued that despair is like a state that we can neither live in nor escape from (Keiregaar, 1849). Nietzsche called memory both a necessity and a curse. He says that one must forget to heal. But what is life without remembering? We cannot heal without remembering, yet remembering always keeps the wound open.

We say, *"time heals everything,"* and nature proves it; even in natural order, everything decays, fades, and evolves. However, when it comes to the human, instead of a healer, time becomes the cruelest trickster. It breaks open all the wounds. Even our emotional system also resists entropy. We hold onto the conversations, gestures, and sounds. Neurologist Lisa Barrett calls emotion *"a prediction system"*; our minds replay past feelings to prepare for future ones. Our minds keep replaying stories that have no next chapter, waiting for someone who will not return (Barrett, 2017). We can't let go of our old memories, whether they are pleasing or horrifying. We like to torture ourselves with the ghosts of memories that keep lingering in our minds.

The nostalgia keeps coming in our way; it is like a *sweet poison* that masquerades as comfort. It keeps engulfing our happiness and keeps eroding our souls. Svetlana Boym explains nostalgia as *"a romance with one's own fantasy."* It keeps idealizing the past, polishing it until pain gleams like the jewel of memory. We don't long for the past but for the person we were with in the past. We don't want to go back to the past but to the person we adored and cherished. Therefore, moving on feels like dying twice, because we feel that we have already lost the person once , then losing the memory of that person will make us lose that person again. That's why we like to keep the memories of that person with us (Boym, 2001).

Somewhere, in the refusal to let go, lies a kind of beauty. As poet Rainer Maria Rilke wrote, *"Perhaps*

everything terrible is in its deepest being something helpless that wants help from us." Maybe grief is something helpless. Maybe it doesn't want us to cure it but to understand it. The stillness is not death; it is transformation. What looks like weakness can actually be strength, the strength to transform past traumas into something that makes one ready to face future troubles and hardships (Rilk, 1929).

That is why we can't decide whether to let go of the past to get rid of all the despair and agony or to keep holding on to the past to remember beautiful moments and turn the bad past experiences into something powerful to help us with the future hardships.

Ghosts in the Mirror: Memory as Healer, Memory as Prisoner

Stand before the *mirror* long enough, and you stop seeing your *reflection*; you start seeing your *ghosts*. *Memory* is also just like the mirror; it reflects not what was but what refuses to fade away. It can, but it can also haunt. It shows what refuses to leave a person and decides to linger everywhere around him.

Margaret Stroebe and **Henk Schut** call this *"dual process theory of grief,"* oscillating between the *loss* and the *restoration*. They argued that healing is not forgetting, it is learning to dance between remembering and moving forward, and the mirror has to hold both the ache of absence and the courage of continuation. Memory does heal; it heals by stitching meaning and courage where a wound once bled. Man is hanging between the forgetfulness of the memory and the courage to move forward; he can't choose one. **Firstly**, because he cannot fully forget something that he once lived; **secondly**, because to completely move on, he has to remember the past and turn the memories of the past into the courage to fight future hardships, and to truly move on (Stroebe, 1999).

But sometimes the same mirror can turn cruel. In **Proust's** world, "*remembrance resurrected sweetness"*; in Nietzsche's world, it could *destroy*. He warned us of *"monumental history,"* that when one lives too deeply in the remembrance, he becomes unable to act freely in the present. Then, remembrance becomes a prison with no door or window, echoing with the things we don't want to hear, and we can't undo. And, one cannot escape that prison and becomes trapped in this deadly and horrific prison forever (Nietzsche, 1874).

Neuroscience backs the poet here. The **amygdala**, the brain's keeper of memories, doesn't distinguish between the past and the present; therefore, the pains from the past can come back as fresh as before by just a subtle trigger. That is why, grief doesn't vanish, and it flows in loops in the brain and haunts the soul. We relive what we survive, even when the survival meant to end the story (LeDoux, 1996).

And yet, the same memory that confines can also save. In ***trauma therapy*** **Bessel Van Der Kolk's** work shows that the healing begins when one starts to rename and renarrate their memories. Silence keeps ghosts, while storytelling lays them to rest. When agony is spoken, it loses its dominion (van der Kolk, B., 2014). Literature whispers the same paradox. In Toni Morrison's Beloved (1987), Sethe's house is haunted by the ghost of her dead child, and it was not just the weight of the spirit but of the memory itself. This haunting only ended when she confronted that ghost. This gives a very powerful message that ***"we cannot heal what we refuse to face.*** (Morisson, 1987)**.**

And maybe, this is the truth. Memory isn't the enemy; it is the mirror. What hurts us in its reflection is often what still asks for closure. You need to confront that agony to close it and to move on, not without it completely, but with its new shape that doesn't haunt but strengthens. Healing lies there where remembrance becomes understanding, not obsession. So, when we meet our ghosts in the mirror, we should not look away but confront them and give them a makeover into something that no longer haunts but strengthens.

When the Raven Takes Flight: Beyond the Word, Beyond the Wound

The end of despair is not forgetting, it is understanding and reforming. The heart that carried unbearable pain does not return to what it was; it becomes someone else entirely. Healing is not about erasing the pain; it is about learning to live beside it like two travelers walking on parallel paths through the same dusk. As philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche wrote, *"What we have experienced, even if it seems foreign, belongs to us."* He argued that the pain we suffered from was not an invader; it was an inheritance, and through it we inherited wisdom (Nietzsche, 1883).

In **Poe's** world, the raven was portrayed as an omen of despair. But if one listens closely, that bird was never a curse. The Raven said, ***"Nevermore,"*** and while doing that, he taught humanity the first lesson of eternity: that nothing in this world, not even the sorrows, ever remains unchanged. **Rainer Maria Rilke** once said**, *"Let everything happen to you: beauty and terror. Just keep going. No feeling is final."*** The bird, once a symbol of death, now symbolizes an emblem of continuation. It reflects the courage of moving beyond the wound without denying its existence (Rilke, 1923).

According to psychology, the journey of grief follows this same metamorphosis. As **Elisabeth Kubler-Ross** says, grief is not a ***linear descent*** but a ***cyclic evolution***. She argues that acceptance is not peace, actually; it is the permission given by the mind to the soul to live with both remembrance and reformation. The psyche learns to coexist with loss by allowing the memory to breathe without suffocating the present (Kübler-Ross, 1969). Neuroscientist **Mary-Frances O'Connor** further adds that the brain must literally relearn the world after loss and must build new neural maps for love that has nobody to return it to (O'Connor, 2022).

In the myth of self, the wailer becomes both the grave and the garden. And from the soil of despair, empathy, creation, and art prosper. Leonard Cohen once said, *"There is a crack in everything; that's how light gets in."* And this light seeping in from the crack becomes the fuel for the reformation. The language of loss, which was once a cry, now becomes a prayer not for the return but for the renewal (Rand, 1938).

So, when the raven finally spreads its wings, it carries not only the cry of ***"Nevermore,"*** but also the wisdom of ***"Evermore."*** The silence that once haunted now hums the symphony of meaning, understanding, and renewal.

Epilogue: The Last Silence

In the end, despair is not the wound to be closed, it is the language we learn to speak softly. Every loss leaves a rhythm behind it, and in learning it, we find our own pulse again. ***"Nevermore"*** was never the end, but the beginning, written in the ashes of the haunting memories. To live is to remember, to love is to return, and to move on is to carry the weight of what was beautiful without letting it burn our present. The silence remains, but now it doesn't growl; it sings.

References

Barrett, L.F., (2017). *How Emotions Are Made: The Secret Life of the Brain*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, Boston, pp. 104–128.

Bloom, H., (1973). *The Anxiety of Influence*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 5–16.

Bonanno, G.A., (2009). *The Other Side of Sadness*. Basic Books, New York, pp. 9–27.

Bowlby, J., (1969). *Attachment and Loss*, Vol. 1. Basic Books, New York, pp. 179–204.

Boym, S., (2001). *The Future of Nostalgia*. Basic Books, New York, pp. 3–18.

Camus, A., (1942). *The Myth of Sisyphus*. Gallimard, Paris, pp. 3–10.

Derrida, J., (1967). *Writing and Difference*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 68–75.

Elliot**,** A.G. (1980). Ovid, n.d. *Metamorphoses*. A Bibliography 1968-1978. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 241–247. The Classical World **73**, pp. 385-412 (28 pages).

Freud, S., (1917). Mourning and melancholia. In: *The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*, pp. 243–258.

Kierkegaard, S., (1849). *The Sickness Unto Death*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp. 43–52.

Kübler-Ross, E., (1969). *On Death and Dying*. Macmillan, New York, pp. 38–52.

LeDoux, J.E., (1996). *The Emotional Brain*. Simon & Schuster, New York, pp. 165–186.

Morrison, T., (1987). *Beloved*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, pp. 1–25.

Nietzsche, F., (1874). *On the Use and Abuse of History for Life*. Hackett Publishing, Indianapolis, pp. 62–75.

Nietzsche, F., (1883). *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*. Penguin Classics, London, pp. 57–63.

O'Connor, M.F., (2022). *The Grieving Brain*. HarperOne, New York, pp. 63–85.

Proust, M., (1913). *In Search of Lost Time*. Grasset, Paris, pp. 45–51.

Rand, A., (1938). *Anthem*. Cassell, London, pp. 112–114

Rilke, R.M., (1929). *Letters to a Young Poet*. Insel Verlag, Leipzig, pp. 53–57.

Rilke, R.M., (1923). *Duino Elegies*. Insel Verlag, Leipzig, pp. 5–9.

Stroebe, M., Schut, H., (1999). *Bereavement in Late Life*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 197–224.

The Holy Bible, (1611). King James Version, Genesis 19:26.

van der Kolk, B., (2014). *The Body Keeps the Score*. Viking, New York, pp. 21–38.

van der Kolk, B., (2014). *The Body Keeps the Score*. Viking, New York, pp. 181–206.

Wittgenstein, L., (1922). *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*. Routledge, London, pp. 89–91.

Statements and Declarations

Data Availability statement

All relevant data are within the manuscript file.

Author's Contribution Statement

AS and SHUHS collected data and wrote manuscript equally. All authors have read the final manuscript and approve its submission.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable

Funding

Not applicable

Ethical Statement

Not applicable

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.